



April 12, 2000

United States Department of Interior
Bureau of Land Management - Vernal District Office
Attention: Margie Herrmann
170 South 500 East
Vernal, Utah 84078-2799

RE: S. Wells Draw 1-9-9-16
NENE Section 9, T9S, R16E
S. Wells Draw 2-9-9-16
NWNE Section 9, T9S, R16E
S. Wells Draw 5-9-9-16
SWNW Section 9, T9S, R16E
S. Wells Draw 6-9-9-16
SENE Section 9, T9S, R16E
S. Wells Draw 7-9-9-16
SWNE Section 9, T9S, R16E
S. Wells Draw 8-9-9-16
SENE Section 9, T9S, R16E
Duchesne County, Utah

Dear Ms. Herrmann:

Enclosed please find the six Applications for Permits to Drill the S. Wells Draw wells listed above, submitted in triplicate, for your review and approval. The Archeological Surveys and Paleontological Surveys for Section 9, T9S, R16E are also enclosed.

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact me or Jon Holst at (303) 893-0102.

Sincerely,

Joyce McGough
Regulatory Technician

RECEIVED
APR 14 2000
DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING

Enclosures: Form 3160-3 and attachments (3 copies)

cc: State of Utah
Division of Oil, Gas & Mining
ATTN: Lisha Cordova
1594 West North Temple - Suite 1210
Post Office Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801

**UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT**

5. LEASE DESIGNATION AND SERIAL NO.

UTU-65207

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO DRILL, DEEPEN, OR PLUG BACK

6. IF INDIAN, ALLOTTEE OR TRIBE NAME

N/A

1a. TYPE OF WORK **DRILL** **DEEPEN**

7. UNIT AGREEMENT NAME

N/A

1b. TYPE OF WELL

OIL WELL GAS WELL OTHER SINGLE ZONE MULTIPLE ZONE

8. FARM OR LEASE NAME

S. Wells Draw

2. NAME OF OPERATOR

Inland Production Company

9. WELL NO.

1-9-9-16

3. ADDRESS OF OPERATOR

410 - 17th Street, Suite 700, Denver, CO 80202 Phone: (303) 893-0102

10. FIELD AND POOL OR WILDCAT

Monument Butte

4. LOCATION OF WELL (Report location clearly and in accordance with any State requirements.)*

At Surface **NE NE 685.4' fml, 549.1' fel**

*4433546N
575405E*

11. SEC., T., R., M., OR BLK
AND SURVEY OR AREA

**NE NE
Sec. 9, T9S, R16E**

14. DISTANCE IN MILES AND DIRECTION FROM NEAREST TOWN OR POST OFFICE*

Approx 12.7 miles southwest of Myton, Utah

12. County

Duchesne

13. STATE

UT

15. DISTANCE FROM PROPOSED* LOCATION TO NEAREST PROPERTY OR LEASE LINE, FT. (Also to nearest drlg. unit line, if any)

Approx 685' f/lse line & 685' f/unit line

16. NO. OF ACRES IN LEASE

720

17. NO. OF ACRES ASSIGNED TO THIS WELL

40

18. DISTANCE FROM PROPOSED LOCATION* TO NEAREST WELL, DRILLING, COMPLETED, OR APPLIED FOR ON THIS LEASE, FT.

Approx. 1107'

19. PROPOSED DEPTH

6500'

20. ROTARY OR CABLE TOOLS

Rotary

21. ELEVATIONS (Show whether DF, RT, GR, etc.)

5704' GR

22. APPROX. DATE WORK WILL START*

23. PROPOSED CASING AND CEMENTING PROGRAM

SIZE OF HOLE	SIZE OF CASING	WEIGHT/FOOT	SETTING DEPTH	QUANTITY OF CEMENT
Refer to Monument Butte Field SOP's Drilling Program/Casing Design				

Inland Production Company proposes to drill this well in accordance with the attached exhibits.

The Conditions of Approval are also attached.

RECEIVED

APR 14 2000

**DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING**

IN ABOVE SPACE DESCRIBE PROPOSED PROGRAM : If proposal is to deepen or plug back, give data on present productive zone and proposed new productive zone.

If proposal is to drill or deepen directionally, give pertinent data on subsurface locations and measured and true vertical depths. Give blowout preventer program, if any.

24. SIGNED *Jon Holst* TITLE **Counsel** DATE **4/5/00**

(This space for Federal or State office use)

PERMIT NO. **43-013-32151** APPROVAL DATE _____

Application approval does not warrant or certify that the applicant holds legal or equitable title to those rights in the subject lease which would entitle the applicant to conduct operations thereon.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, IF ANY:

APPROVED BY *Bradley G. Hill* TITLE **RECLAMATION SPECIALIST III** DATE **4/24/00**

**Federal Approval of this
Action is Necessary**


***See Instructions On Reverse Side**

Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1001, makes it a crime for any person knowingly and willfully to make to any department or agency of the United States any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

T9S, R16E, S.L.B.&M.

INLAND PRODUCTION COMPANY

WELL LOCATION, SOUTH WELLS DRAW #1-9-9-16, LOCATED AS SHOWN IN THE NE 1/4 NE 1/4 OF SECTION 9, T9S, R16E, S.L.B.&M. DUCHESNE COUNTY, UTAH.



WELL LOCATION:
SOUTH WELLS DRAW #1-9-9-16
 ELEV. UNGRADED GROUND = 5703.7'

RECEIVED

APR 14 2000

DIVISION OF
 OIL, GAS AND MINING



THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE PLAT WAS PREPARED FROM FIELD NOTES OF ACTUAL SURVEYS MADE BY ME OR UNDER MY SUPERVISION AND THAT THE SAME ARE TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF

STEWART
Stewart
 REGISTERED LAND SURVEYOR
 REGISTRATION No. 14402
 STATE OF UTAH

N89°50'W - 80.24 (G.L.O.)

◆ = SECTION CORNERS LOCATED

BASIS OF ELEV; U.S.G.S. 7-1/2 min QUAD (MYTON SE)
 BASIS OF BEARINGS IS A GLOBAL POSITIONING SATELITE OBSERVATION

TRI STATE LAND SURVEYING & CONSULTING

38 WEST 100 NORTH - VERNAL, UTAH 84078
 (801) 781-2501

SCALE: 1" = 1000'

SURVEYED BY: G.S. D.S.

DATE: 11-8-98

WEATHER: COOL

REVISIONS:

FILE #

INLAND PRODUCTION COMPANY
S. WELLS DRAW #1-9-9-16
NE/NE SEC 16, T9S, R16E
DUCHESNE COUNTY, UTAH

ONSHORE ORDER NO. 1

MULTI-POINT SURFACE USE & OPERATIONS PLAN

1. EXISTING ROADS

See attached Topographic Map "A"

To reach Inland Production Company well location site for the S. Wells Draw #1-9-9-16, NE/NE of Section 9, T9S, R16E, Duchesne County, Utah:

Proceed westerly out of Myton, Utah along Highway 40 approximately 1.6 miles to the junction of this highway and Utah State Highway 216; proceed southerly and then southeasterly along Utah State Highway 216 approximately 10.05 miles; turn and proceed westerly approximately 5.26 miles to the beginning of the proposed access road; proceed northerly approximately 0.18 miles along this road to the proposed well site.

2. PLANNED ACCESS ROAD

See Topographic Map "B" for the location of the proposed access road.

3. LOCATION OF EXISTING WELLS

Refer to Exhibit "D"

4. LOCATION OF EXISTING AND/OR PROPOSED FACILITIES

Please refer to the Monument Butte Field Standard Operating Procedure (SOP).

5. LOCATION AND TYPE OF WATER SUPPLY

Please refer to the Monument Butte Field SOP. See Exhibit "C".

6. SOURCE OF CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS

Please refer to the Monument Butte Field SOP.

7. METHODS FOR HANDLING WASTE DISPOSAL

Please refer to the Monument Butte Field SOP. See Exhibit "E".

RECEIVED

APR 14 2000

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING

RECEIVED

APR 14 2000

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING

INLAND PRODUCTION COMPANY
S. WELLS DRAW #1-9-9-16
NE/NE SEC 16, T9S, R16E
DUCHESNE COUNTY, UTAH

ONSHORE ORDER NO. 1

DRILLING PROGRAM

1. **GEOLOGIC SURFACE FORMATION:**

Uinta Formation of Upper Eocene Age

2. **ESTIMATED TOPS OF IMPORTANT GEOLOGIC MARKERS:**

Uinta	0 – 1300'
Green River	1300'
Wasatch	6500'

3. **ESTIMATED DEPTHS OF ANTICIPATED WATER, OIL, GAS OR MINERALS:**

Green River Formation 1300' – 6500' – Oil

4. **PROPOSED CASING PROGRAM:**

Please refer to the Monument Butte Field SOP.

5. **MINIMUM SPECIFICATIONS FOR PRESSURE CONTROL:**

Please refer to the Monument Butte Field SOP. See Exhibit "F".

6. **TYPE AND CHARACTERISTICS OF THE PROPOSED CIRCULATION MUDS:**

Please refer to the Monument Butte Field SOP.

7. **AUXILIARY SAFETY EQUIPMENT TO BE USED:**

Please refer to the Monument Butte Field SOP.

8. **TESTING, LOGGING AND CORING PROGRAMS:**

Please refer to the Monument Butte Field SOP.

9. **ANTICIPATED ABNORMAL PRESSURE OR TEMPERATURE:**

The anticipated maximum bottom hole pressure is 2000 psi. It is not anticipated that abnormal temperatures will be encountered.

10. **ANTICIPATED STARTING DATE AND DURATION OF THE OPERATIONS:**

Please refer to the Monument Butte Field SOP.

8. **ANCILLARY FACILITIES:**

Please refer to the Monument Butte Field SOP.

9. **WELL SITE LAYOUT:**

The attached Location Layout Diagram describes drill pad cross-sections, cuts and fills and locations of the mud tanks, reserve pit, pipe racks, trailer parking, spoil dirt stockpile(s) and surface material stockpile(s). Refer to Exhibits "E" and "E-1".

10. **PLANS FOR RESTORATION OF SURFACE:**

Please refer to the Monument Butte Field SOP.

11. **SURFACE OWNERSHIP:** Bureau of Land Management

12. **OTHER ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:**

The Archaeological Cultural Resource Survey is attached.

Inland Production Company requests a 60' ROW for the S. Wells Draw #1-9-9-16 to allow for construction of a 6" poly gas gathering line, and a 3" poly fuel gas line. Both lines will tie in to the existing pipeline infrastructure. Refer to Topographic Map "C".

Inland Production Company also requests a 60' ROW be granted for the S. Wells Draw #1-9-9-16 to allow for construction of a 3" steel water injection line and a 3" poly water return line. Refer to Topographic Map "C".

13. **LESSEE'S OR OPERATOR'S REPRESENTATIVE AND CERTIFICATION:**

Representative

Name: Jon Holst
Address: 410 Seventeenth Street
Suite 700
Denver, CO 80202
Telephone: (303) 893-0102


Certification

Please be advised that INLAND RESOURCES, INC. is considered to be the operator of the S. Wells Draw #1-9-9-16, NE/NE Sec. 9, T9S, R16E, Duchesne County, Utah; and is responsible under the terms and conditions of the lease for the operations conducted upon the leased lands. Bond coverage is provided by Hartford Accident #4488944.


I hereby certify that the proposed drill site and access route have been inspected, and I am familiar with the conditions which currently exist; that the statements made in this plan are true and correct to the best of my knowledge; and that the work associated with the operations proposed here will be performed by Inland Resources, Inc. and its contractors and subcontractors in conformity with this plan and the terms and conditions under which it is approved. This statement is subject to the provisions of the 18 U.S.C. 1001 for the filing of a false statement.

4/06/00

Date



Jon Holst
Counsel



**Proposed Location
South Wells Draw #1-9**


See Topo "B"



**SOUTH WELLS DRAW #1-9
SEC. 9, T9S, R16E, S.L.B.&M.
TOPOGRAPHIC MAP "A"**



Drawn By: ML	Revision:
Scale: 1 : 100,000	File: ILSWD1-9A
Date: 11/9/98	
Tri-State Land Surveying Inc. P.O. Box 533, Vernal, UT 84078 435-781-2501 Fax 434-781-2518	




**Proposed Location
South Wells Draw #1-9**

Proposed Access ±.18 mi.

Myton ±16.9 mi



**SOUTH WELLS DRAW #1-9
SEC. 9, T9S, R16E, S.L.B.&M.
TOPOGRAPHIC MAP "B"**



Drawn By: ML


Revision:

Scale: 1" = 1000'

File: ILSWD1-9B

Date: 11/10/98

**Tri-State Land Surveying Inc.
P.O. Box 533, Vernal, UT 84078
435-781-2501 Fax 434-781-2518**



RECEIVED

APR 14 2000


DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING

EXHIBIT D

INLAND PRODUCTION COMPANY		
One Mile Radius South Wells Draw #1-9-9-16		
Jack Austine		10/9/2000
	Scale 1:456783	

INLAND PRODUCTION COMPANY

SOUTH WELLS DRAW #1-9-9-16
SEC. 9, T9S, R16E, S.L.B.&M.



RECEIVED

APR 14 2000

DIVISION OF
 OIL, GAS AND MINING

REFERENCE POINTS

- 200' SOUTHWEST = 5708.9
- 250' SOUTHWEST = 5711.7'
- 105' NORTHWEST = 5708.6'
- 155' NORTHWEST = 5712.7'


SURVEYED BY:	G.S.
DRAWN BY:	J.R.S.
DATE:	11-14-95
SCALE:	1" = 50'
REVISIONS:	

EXHIBIT E

Tri State
Land Surveying, Inc.
 (801) 781-2501
 38 WEST 100 NORTH VERNAL, UTAH 84078

TYPICAL RIG LAYOUT

SOUTH WELLS DRAW #1-9-9-16



RECEIVED

APR 14 2000

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING

EXHIBIT E





Tri State
Land Surveying, Inc.

(801) 781-2501

38 WEST 100 NORTH, VERNAL, UTAH 84078

CROSS SECTIONS

SOUTH WELLS DRAW #1-9-9-16



APPROXIMATE YARDAGES RECEIVED

CUT = 1,700 Cu. Yds.
 FILL = 1,220 Cu. Yds.
 PIT = 920 Cu. Yds.
 6" TOPSOIL = 1,030 Cu. Yds.


APR 14 2000
 DIVISION OF
 GAS AND MINING

OIL

EXHIBIT E-1

Tri State
Land Surveying, Inc.
 (801) 781-2501
 38 WEST 100 NORTH VERNAL, UTAH 84078


2-M SYSTEM



RECEIVED

APR 14 2000

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING



CLOSE
 ar BOP = _____
 ype BOP
 rams x _____ = _____
 _____ Gal.
 _____ x 2 = _____ Total Gal.

iding off to the next higher
 ment of 10 gal. would require
 ... Gal. (total fluid & nitro volume)

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL
APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO DRILL

Company/Operator: Inland Production Company

Well Name & Number: S. Wells Draw 1-9-9-16

Lease Number: UTU-65207

Location: NE/NE Sec 9, T9S, R16E

GENERAL

Access pad from South, off of existing road.

CULTURAL RESOURCES

See *CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL FOR INLAND RESOURCES MONUMENT BUTTE-MYTON BENCH WATERFLOOD ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT DUCHESNE AND UINTAH COUNTIES, UTAH EA NUMBER 1996-61.*

PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES

See *CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL FOR INLAND RESOURCES MONUMENT BUTTE-MYTON BENCH WATERFLOOD ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT DUCHESNE AND UINTAH COUNTIES, UTAH EA NUMBER 1996-61.*

SOILS, WATERSHEDS, AND FLOODPLAINS

See *CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL FOR INLAND RESOURCES MONUMENT BUTTE-MYTON BENCH WATERFLOOD ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT DUCHESNE AND UINTAH COUNTIES, UTAH EA NUMBER 1996-61.*

WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

See *CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL FOR INLAND RESOURCES MONUMENT BUTTE-MYTON BENCH WATERFLOOD ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT DUCHESNE AND UINTAH COUNTIES, UTAH EA NUMBER 1996-61.*

RECEIVED

APR 14 2000

DEPARTMENT OF
OIL, GAS, AND MINING

THREATENED, ENDANGERED, AND OTHER SENSITIVE SPECIES

See *CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL FOR INLAND RESOURCES MONUMENT BUTTE-MYTON BENCH WATERFLOOD ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT DUCHESNE AND UINTAH COUNTIES, UTAH EA NUMBER 1996-61.*

BURROWING OWL: Due to the proximity of the location to active prairie dog towns, there is the potential to encounter nesting burrowing owls between April 1 and July 15. No new construction or surface disturbing activities will be allowed between April 1 and July 15 within a 0.5 mile radius of any active burrowing owl nest.

MOUNTAIN PLOVER: If new construction or surface disturbing activities are scheduled to occur between March 15 and August 15, detailed surveys of the area within 0.5 mile of the proposed location and within 300 feet of proposed access routes must be conducted to detect the presence of mountain plovers. All surveys must be completed prior to initiating new construction or surface disturbing activities (see Survey Protocol COAs EA Number 1996-61).

OTHER

RECEIVED

APR 14 2000

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING

INLAND RESOURCES INC.
410 Seventeenth Street, Suite 700
Denver, Colorado 80202

RECEIVED
APR 14 2000
DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING

ARCHAEOLOGICAL REPORT

South Wells Draw #1-9-9-16
NE NE Sec. 9-T9S-R16E
Duchesne County, Utah

CULTURAL RESOURCE EVALUATION OF VARIOUS LARGE TRACTS IN THE WELLS DRAW TO PARIETTE BENCH LOCALITY IN DUCHESNE & UINTAH COUNTIES, UTAH

Report Prepared for Inland Resources, Inc.

Department of Interior Permit No.: UT-98-54937

Utah State Project No.: UT-98-AF-0164bs

AERC Project 1598 (IPC98-4)

Author of the Report:
F. Richard Hauck, Ph.D



ARCHEOLOGICAL-ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CORPORATION

181 North 200 West, Suite 5 -- Bountiful, Utah 84010

P.O. Box 853, Bountiful, Utah 84011

Phone: (801) 292-7061, 292-9668 FAX: (801) 292-0614

E-mail: ari@xmission.com Web page: www.ari-aerc.org

July 21, 1998

Abstract

A series of intensive cultural resource examinations has been conducted for Inland Resources, Inc. of seven project tracts situated in the Wells Draw to Pariette Bench locality of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. These parcels include portions of Sections 12 and 7 in the Ashley Unit (T. 9 S., R. 15 & 16 E.), portions of Sections 2, 3, 4, 9, and 10 in the South Wells Draw Unit (T. 9 S., R. 16 E.), portions of Sections 35 and 36 (T. 8 S., R. 17 E.) and 2 (T. 9 S., R. 17 E.) in the Odekirk Springs Unit, and portions of Sections 15, 18, and 22 in the South Pleasant Valley Unit (T. 9 S., R. 17 E.). The purpose of this report is to detail the result of these evaluations.

A total of 3,919 acres is incorporated into this report as examined for the presence of cultural resources preparatory to the development of Inland's well pads, access roads, and pipeline corridors in these project tracts. A total of 2,950 acres (75%) within the proposed development tracts is situated on federal lands administered by the Vernal District of the Bureau of Land Management, Diamond Mountain Resource Area, Vernal, Utah. The remaining 969 acres (25%) are situated on Utah State lands administered by the Division of State School Trust Lands.

Field examinations were initially conducted in December 1997 and January 1998 and then again from mid-April through early June 1998. AERC archaeologists that participated on the project include Marcel Corbiel, Donna Daniels, Kris Kunkel, Alan Hutchinson, Stance Hurst, Christy Gobber, Richard Francisca, Tammy Gibson, Scott Edmisten, and Christopher Davies. The field survey program was conducted under the direction of Glade Hadden, and/or F.R. Hauck. This work was done under Utah project UT-98-AF-0164bs, which expires on December 31, 1998.

A total of 28 prehistoric sites (42DC 1149, 1150, 1155-1166, 1171, 1174-1177 and 42UN 2532-2538, 2552, 2566) and four isolated diagnostic artifacts (1598B/x1, 1598K/x2, 1598R/x1 & 1598R/x2) were identified and recorded during this project. Sites 42DC 1155, 1156, 1157, 1160, 1163, 1164, 1165, 1166, 1171, 1176, 1177 and 42UN 2532, 2533, 2534, 2536, and 2566 are considered non-significant cultural resources. Sites 42DC 1149, 1150, 1158, 1159, 1161, 1162, 1174, 1175 and 42UN 2535, 2537, 2538, and 2552 are considered significant cultural resource under criteria established for the documentation of National Register of Historic Places (36CFR60.6).

No previously recorded significant or National Register eligible cultural resources will be adversely affected by well location development and access/pipeline route corridor development within the acreage cleared and reported within this document with adherence to the recommendations provided in the final section of this report.

Table of Contents

	page
Abstract	ii
Table of Contents	iii
List of Maps of the Project Area	iv
List of Figures	v
General Information	1
Project Location	1
Environmental Description	10
Previous Research in the Locality	10
File Search	10
Prehistory and History of the Project Area	11
Site Potential in the Project Development Zone	11
Field Evaluations	12
Methodology	12
Site Significance Criteria	12
Results of the Inventory	13
Site 42DC 1149	13
Site 42DC 1150	13
Site 42DC 1155	16
Site 42DC 1156	16
Site 42DC 1157	16
Site 42DC 1158	16
Site 42DC 1159	21
Site 42DC 1160	21
Site 42DC 1161	21
Site 42DC 1162	21
Site 42DC 1163	27
Site 42DC 1164	27

Table of Contents continued

	page
Site 42DC 1165	27
Site 42DC 1166	27
Site 42DC 1171	32
Site 42DC 1174	32
Site 42DC 1175	32
Site 42DC 1176	32
Site 42DC 1177	37
Site 42UN 2532	37
Site 42UN 2533	37
Site 42UN 2534	37
Site 42UN 2535	41
Site 42UN 2536	43
Site 42UN 2537	43
Site 42UN 2538	43
Site 42UN 2552	43
Site 42UN 2566	48
Isolated Artifacts	48
Paleontological Assessments	51
Discussion	51
Conclusion and Recommendations	52
References	53

List of Maps

	page
Map 1: Project Area for the Inland 1998 Development Program	2
Map 2: Cultural Resource Survey of Inland's Ashley Unit in the Wells Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah	3
Map 3: Cultural Resource Survey of Inland's South Wells Draw Unit in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah	4
Map 4: Cultural Resource Survey of Inland's Odekirk Springs Unit in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne & Uintah Counties, Utah	5
Map 5: Cultural Resource Survey of Inland's South Pleasant Valley Unit in the Pariette Bench Locality of Duchesne County, Utah	6
Map 6: Cultural Resource Survey of Inland's South Pleasant Valley Unit in the Pariette Bench Locality of Duchesne County, Utah	7
Map 7: Cultural Resource Survey of Inland Bulk Acreage in the South Pleasant Valley Locality of Duchesne County, Utah	8
Map 8: Site Map for Site 42DC 1149	14
Map 9: Site Map for Site 42DC 1150	15
Map 10: Site Map for Site 42DC 1155 & 42DC 1156	17
Map 11: Site Map for Site 42DC 1157	19
Map 12: Site Map for Site 42DC 1158	20
Map 13: Site Map for Site 42DC 1159	22
Map 14: Site Map for Site 42DC 1160	23
Map 15: Site Map for Site 42DC 1161	25

List of Maps continued

	page
Map 16: Site Map for Site 42DC 1162 26
Map 17: Site Map for Site 42DC 1163 28
Map 18: Site Map for Site 42DC 1164 29
Map 19: Site Map for Site 42DC 1165 30
Map 20: Site Map for Site 42DC 1166 31
Map 21: Site Map for Site 42DC 1171 33
Map 22: Site Map for Site 42DC 1174 34
Map 23: Site Map for Site 42DC 1175 36
Map 24: Site Map for Site 42DC 1177 38
Map 25: Site Map for Site 42UN 2533 39
Map 26: Site Map for Site 42UN 2534 40
Map 27: Site Map for Site 42UN 2535 42
Map 28: Site Map for Site 42UN 2536 44
Map 29: Site Map for Site 42UN 2537 45
Map 30: Site Map for Site 42UN 2538 46
Map 31: Site Map for Site 42UN 2552 47
Map 32: Site Map for Site 42UN 2566 49

List of Figures

	page
Figure 1: Artifacts from Sites 42DC 1156, 1158, & 1159	18
Figure 2 Artifacts from Sites 42DC 1160 & 1161	24
Figure 3: Artifacts from Sites 42DC 1174 & 1175	35
Figure 4: Artifact from Site 42UN 2535	41
Figure 5: Isolated Artifacts 1598B/x1, 1598K/x2, 1598R/x1, & 1598R/x2	50

GENERAL INFORMATION

Between April 13 and June 9, 1998, a variety of AERC archaeologists including Kris Kunkel, Alan Hutchinson, Stance Hurst, Christy Gobber, Scott Edmisten, Richard Francisco, Patrick Walker, and Christopher G. Davies acting under the direction of Glade Hadden and/or F.R. Hauck, conducted intensive cultural resource evaluations of seven acreage tracts situated between the Wells Draw locality of Duchesne County on the West, and the Pariette Bench locality of Uintah County on the East, in the Uinta Basin region of eastern Utah (see Map 1). Cultural evaluations within these tracts actually began in the winter of 1997-97 as initially reported in Hauck and Hadden 1997.

These seven project tracts are actually associated with Inland Resource's **Ashley, South Wells Draw, Odekirk Springs, and South Pleasant Valley Units**. These tracts include portions of Sections 12 and 7 in the **Ashley Unit** (T. 9 S., R. 15 & 16 E.), portions of Sections 2, 3, 4, 9, and 10 in the **South Wells Draw Unit** (T. 9 S., R. 16 E.), portions of Sections 35 and 36 (T. 8 S., R. 17 E.) and 2 (T. 9 S., R. 17 E.) in the **Odekirk Springs Unit**, and portions of Sections 15, 18, and 22 in the **South Pleasant Valley Unit** (T. 9 S., R. 17 E.).

A total of 3,919 acres is incorporated into this report as examined for the presence of cultural resources preparatory to the development of Inland's well pads, access roads, and pipeline corridors in these project tracts. A total of 2950 acres (75%) within the proposed development tracts is situated on federal lands administered by the Vernal District of the Bureau of Land Management, Diamond Mountain Resource Area, Vernal, Utah. The remaining 969 acres (25%) are situated on Utah State lands administered by the Division of State School Trust Lands.

The purpose of this field study and this report is to identify and document cultural site presence and assess National Register potential significance relative to established criteria (cf. Title 36 CFR 60.6). The future oil/gas development within these various tracts requires an archaeological evaluation in compliance with U.C.A. 9-8-404, the Federal Antiquities Act of 1906, the Reservoir Salvage Act of 1960-as amended, the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1979, the Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979, the Native American Religious Freedom Act of 1978, the Historic Preservation Act of 1980, and Executive Order 11593.

In addition to documenting cultural identity and significance, mitigation recommendations relative to the preservation of cultural data and materials can be directed to the Vernal District Office of the Bureau of Land Management, and to the Utah State Antiquities Section, Division of State History.


Project Location

The project area extends from the Wells Draw locality of Duchesne County eastward to the Pariette Bench locality of Uintah County, Utah. The seven tracts under investigation are situated on

MAP 1
PROJECT AREA FOR THE
INLAND RESOURCES 1998
DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM



PROJECT: IPC98-4
SCALE: 1: 200,650
DATE: 7/ 20/ 98



PROJECT AREA

TOWNSHIP: multiple
RANGE: multiple
MERIDIAN: multiple


Utah Geological and Mineral Survey
 Map 43 1977
 Physiographic Subdivisions of Utah
 by W.L. Stokes



MAP 2
CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY
OF INLAND'S ASHLEY UNIT
IN THE WELLS DRAW LOCALITY
OF DUCHESNE COUNTY, UTAH



PROJECT: IPC98-4
SCALE: 1:24,000
QUAD: Myton SW
DATE: July 8, 1998



TOWNSHIP: 9 South
RANGE: 15 and 16 East
MERIDIAN: SL B. & M.

LEGEND


Area Inventoried ● CULTURAL SITE



MAP: 3
CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY
OF INLAND'S SOUTH WELLS DRAW
UNIT IN THE CASTLE PEAK DRAW
LOCALITY OF UINTAH COUNTY, UTAH




PROJECT: IPC98-4
SCALE: 1:24,000
QUAD: Myton SE
DATE: July 3, 1998



LEGEND



TOWNSHIP: 9 South
RANGE: 16 East
MERIDIAN: SL B. & M.




Area Reported in
 IPC97-5A & 98-38
 Reports
 Area Reported
 Pertinent to this
 Report

● CULTURAL SITE
 X ISOLATED ARTIFACT

**MAP 4: CULTURAL RESOURCE
SURVEY OF INLAND'S ODEKIRK
SPRINGS UNIT IN THE CASTLE PEAK
DRAW LOCALITY OF DUCHESNE &
UINTAH COUNTIES, UTAH**



PROJECT: IPC98-4
SCALE: 1:24,000
QUAD: Pariette Draw SW
DATE: July 3, 1998



LEGEND




TOWNSHIP: 8 and 9 South
RANGE: 17 East
MERIDIAN: SL B. & M.

Area Inventoried ● CULTURAL SITE

**MAP: 5 CULTURAL RESOURCE
SURVEY OF INLAND'S SOUTH
PLEASANT VALLEY UNIT IN THE
PARIETTE BENCH LOCALITY
OF DUCHESNE COUNTY, UTAH**



PROJECT: IPC98-4
SCALE: 1:24,000
QUAD: Myton, SE
DATE: July 9, 1998



LEGEND



TOWNSHIP: 9 South
RANGE: 17 East
MERIDIAN: SL B. & M.


Area
 Inventoried

● CULTURAL
 SITE

MAP: 6 CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY OF INLAND'S SOUTH PLEASANT VALLEY UNIT IN THE PARIETTE BENCH LOCALITY OF DUCHESNE COUNTY, UTAH



PROJECT: IPC98-4
SCALE: 1:24,000
QUAD: Pariette Draw SW
DATE: July 9, 1998



TOWNSHIP: 9 South
RANGE: 17 East
MERIDIAN: SL B. & M.

LEGEND


 Area Examined Pertinent to this Report

- CULTURAL SITE
- X ISOLATED ARTIFACT

**MAP 7:
 CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY
 OF INLAND BULK ACREAGE IN THE
 SOUTH PLEASANT VALLEY LOCALITY
 OF DUCHESNE COUNTY, UTAH**



PROJECT: IPC98-4
SCALE: 1:24,000
QUAD: Myton SE, Utah
DATE: July 9, 1998



TOWNSHIP: 9 South
RANGE: 17 East
MERIDIAN: SL B. & M.

LEGEND

-  Bulk Acreage Survey Evaluated in 1997 as Reported in Hauck & Hadden 1997.5 (IPC97-5A, Map 4)
-  Bulk Acreage Survey Completed in Spring 1998 for this Report

the Myton SE, Myton SW, and Pariette Draw SW 7.5 minute USGS quads as shown on Map 2 through Map 7. The seven inventoried tracts are located in the following Lease Units:

Ashley Unit (see Map 2)

Evaluated parcels in this unit, as reported in this current document, total 689 acres and include the southern half and portions of the northwest and northeast quarters of Section 12, Township 9 South, Range 15 East (Salt Lake B. & M.). Portions of the southwest quarter, the northeast quarter, and the southeast quarter of Section 7, Township 9 South, Range 16 East are also included.

This acreage includes the following locations: 3-12, 5-12, 6-12, 9-12, 10-12, 11-12, 13-12, 14-12, 15-12, 16-12 (all in Section 12), and 1-7, 8-7, 9-7, 11-7, 13-7, and portions of 14-7 (all in Section 7).

South Wells Draw Unit (see Map 3)

Evaluated portions of this unit, as reported in this current document, total 1,240 acres and include the northern half of the southwest quarter of Section 2, all of Section 3 except for the northeast quarter, the southeast quarter and the eastern half of the southwest quarter of Section 4, the eastern half of Section 9, and the eastern half of the northwest quarter and the southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of Section 10, Township 9 South, range 16 East.

This acreage includes the following locations: 11-2 and 12-2 (in Section 2), 3-3, 4-3, 5-3, 6-3, 9-3, 10-3, 11-3, 12-3, 13-3, 14-3, 15-3, and 16-3 (in Section 3), 9-4, 10-4, 11-4, 12-4, 13-4, 14-4, 15-4, and 16-4 (in Section 4), 1-9, 2-9, 7-9, 8-9, 9-9, 10-9, 15-9, 16-9 (in Section 9), and 3-10, 6-10, and 13-10 (in Section 10).

Odekirk Springs Unit (see Map 4)

Evaluated portions of this unit, as reported in this current document, total 1,529 acres and include Sections 35 and 36 of Township 8 South, Range 17 East. In addition, portions of the northwest, northeast, and southeast quarters of Section 2, Township 9 South, Range 17 East were also evaluated.

This acreage includes the following locations: 1-35 through 16-35 in Section 35, 1-36 through 16-36 in Section 36, and previously unevaluated acreage associated with units 1-2, 2-2, 3-2, 4-2, 5-2, 6-2, 7-2 8-2, 9-2, and 16-2 all in Section 2.

South Pleasant Valley Unit (see Maps 5, 6, and 7)

Evaluated portions of this unit total 461 acres and include the southeast quarter of the northeast quarter and the northeast quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 15 (also noted in

Hauck 1998c), portions of the northeast, northwest and southwest quarters of Section 18, and, in Section 22, the southwest quarter of the northwest quarter and the southeast quarter of the northeast quarter.

This acreage includes the following locations: 8-15 and 9-15 in Section 15 (previously reported in Hauck 1998c), units 2-18, 4-18, 5-18, 6-18, and portions of 7-18, 11-18, 12-18, 13-18, and portions of 14-18 in Section 18, and 5-22, 8-22 in Section 22.

Environmental Description

The various project tracts associated with this report are within the 5200 to 6100 foot elevation zone above sea level. Open rangeland terrain and eroded Eocene lake bed surfaces are affiliated with the entire project area.

The vegetation in the project area includes rabbit brush (*Chrysothamnus spp.*), sagebrush (*Artemisia spp.*), Winterfat (*Ceratoides lanata*) greasewood (*Sarcobatus spp.*), Sulphur flower Buckwheat (*Eriogonum umbellatum*) Mormon tea (*Ephedra viridis*), Halogeton, Mountain Mahogany (*Cercocarpus spp.*), saltbush (*Atriplex canescens*), and a variety of grasses.

The geological associations within the project area consist of Quaternary age sand and gravel deposits and fluvial lake deposits which correlate with the Uintah Formation of Tertiary age throughout the remainder of the tracts being considered in this report.

PREVIOUS RESEARCH IN THE LOCALITY

File Search

A records search of the site files and maps at the Antiquities Section of the State Historic Preservation Office in Salt Lake City was conducted on November 6, 1997 in association with the primary project as requested by Inland Resources, Inc. A similar search was conducted in the Vernal District Office of the BLM on November 10, 1997 and March 18, 1998. Searches were also initiated during the spring of 1998 in order to check various parcels added to the evaluation by Inland Resources. The National Register of Historic Places was consulted and no registered historic or prehistoric properties will be affected by the proposed developments.

A variety of known cultural sites are situated in the general locality. Many of these prehistoric resources were identified and recorded by AERC and other archaeologists and consultants during oil and gas exploration inventories (cf., Fike and Phillips 1984, Hauck and Weder 1989, Hauck (various), Hauck and Hadden 1993, 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997).

Prehistory of the Cultural Region

Currently available information indicates that the Northern Colorado Plateau Cultural Region has been occupied by a variety of cultures beginning perhaps as early as 10,000 B.C. These cultures, as identified by their material remains, demonstrate a cultural developmental process that begins with the earliest identified Paleoindian peoples (10,000 - 7,000 B.C.) and extends through the Archaic (ca. 7,000 B.C. - 300 A.D.), and Formative (ca. A.D. 400 - 1100) stages, and the Late Prehistoric-Protohistoric periods (ca. A.D. 1200 - 1850) to conclude in the Historic-Modern Period which was initiated with the incursion of the Euro-American trappers, explorers and settlers. Basically, each cultural stage--with the possible exception of the Late Prehistoric hunting and gathering Shoshonean bands--features a more complex life-way and social order than occurred during the earlier stage of development (Hauck 1991:53). For a more comprehensive treatment of the prehistory and history of this region see *Archaeological Evaluations in the Northern Colorado Plateau Cultural Area* (Hauck 1991).

Site Potential in the Project Development Zone

Previous archaeological evaluations in the general project area have resulted in the identification and recording of a variety of cultural resource sites having eligibility for potential nomination to the National Register of Historic Places. The majority of these sites are lithic scatters containing cobble reduction materials. Many of these quarry sites are of the "tap and test" variety, and extend for tens of hundreds of meters. Open occupations are also frequently being identified in this locality. Sites associated with the open rangeland generally appear to have been occupied during the Early and Middle Plains Archaic Stage with occasional indications of Paleoindian activity based on the recovery of both Llano and Plano style projectile points as isolated artifacts and from archaeological sites. The north-south drainage canyons appear to contain the majority of Late Prehistoric (Numic) sites probably because those canyon floors were transportation corridors and convenient pastures for the Ute horse herds. Evidence of Formative Stage occupation, i.e. Fremont, is rarely observed in the rangeland environment but is common within the Green River and White River canyons and their primary tributary canyons.

Site density in certain portions of the region appears to range from one to four sites per section. These densities increase in the canyon bottoms due to Ute rock art loci. Recent evaluations indicate that the site densities may reach 8 to 12 sites per section for a site/acre ratio ranging between 1/80 and 1/53 in certain localities on the upper benches which were apparently favored for hunting, lithic resource procurement, and camping. Prehistoric sites on the rangeland benches appear to be associated with water courses and aeolian deposits. In the Wells Draw and Castle Peak Draw localities, site density appears to be very high (possibly as high as the site/acre ratio of 1/25), especially in areas near water courses and seep sources.

FIELD EVALUATIONS

Methodology

Intensive evaluations consisted of the archaeologists walking a series of 10 to 20 meter-wide transects within the various tracts as defined above for this project area. Thus, 3,919 acres were inventoried relative to this present project.

Observation of cultural materials results in intensive examinations to determine the nature of the resource (isolate or activity locus). The analysis of each specific site results in its subsequently being sketched, photographed, and appropriately recorded on standard IMACS forms.

In certain instances, the cultural sites are evaluated for depth potential utilizing AERC's portable Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) computerized system (SIR-2 manufactured by Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc. of North Salem, New Hampshire). GPR was not used during this project.

Following these field analyses, cultural sites are then evaluated for significance utilizing the standards described below and mitigation recommendations are developed by the principal investigator in consultation with both the client and relevant governmental agencies as a means of preserving significant resources which may be situated within the development zone.

Site Significance Criteria

Prehistoric and historic cultural sites which can be considered as eligible for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places have been outlined as follows in the National Register's Criteria for Evaluation as established in Title 36 CFR 60.6:

The quality of significance in American . . . archaeology . . . and culture is present in . . . sites . . . that possess integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association and:

- a. That are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; or*
- b. that are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or*
- c. that embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction . . . ; or*
- d. that have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.*

In addition to satisfying one or more of these general conditions, a significant cultural resource site in Utah will generally be considered as eligible for inclusion in the National Register if it should advance our current state of knowledge relating to chronology, cultural relationships, origins, and cultural life ways of prehistoric or historic groups in the area.

In a final review of any site's significance, the site must possess integrity and at least one of the above criteria to be considered eligible for nomination to the National Register of Historic Places.

Results of the Inventory

A total of 28 prehistoric archeological sites and four isolated artifacts was recorded during the archaeological evaluation of the various tracts in this project area. These sites consist of 42DC 1149, 1150, 1155-1166, 1171, 1174-1177 and 42UN 2532-2538, 2552, and 2566. A brief description of each site, the site maps, cultural significance determinations, and mitigation recommendations are provided in this portion of the report. A discussion of the four isolated diagnostic artifacts (1598B/x1, 1598K/x2, 1598R/x1 & 1598R/x2) follows the site discussion .

Site 42DC 1149 (see Maps 4 and 8)

This site is a large, diffuse scatter of lithic debitage, cores and tools on an elevated bench and ridge complex associated with stabilized aeolian deposits. The site occupation area occurs in and among a large sandstone outcrop or monolith at the highest/westernmost edge of the scatter area. Fire cracked rock concentrations, tools and shatter predominate at the occupation area, while primary flakes, cores and shatter occur at low rates across the remainder of the site.

Nat. Register Status: Significant

Justification: Site contains depth potential and context integrity. This site meets the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance during any surface development related to Units 5-35 and 12-35.

Site 42DC 1150 (see Maps 4 and 9)




This site consists of a very large dispersed scatter of lithic debitage in all stages of reduction and tool manufacture, tools and hearth remains along the top and sides of a large dune. Artifacts are eroding from the dune field and are exposed in blowouts and along the dune.

Nat. Register Status: Significant





Justification: Site contains depth potential and context integrity. This site meets the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance during any surface development related to Unit 4-35.

MAP 8: SITE 42DC 1149



MAP 9: SITE 42DC 1150



Site 42DC 1155 (see Maps 3 and 10)

Site consists of a scatter of Mesa Verde corrugated grayware that was manufactured during the late Pueblo-II, early Pueblo-III occupation in the Southwest cultural area. The artifact evidently represents a trade item brought into the Uinta Basin. Similar artifacts have been previously recorded for this region and the adjacent White River region of northwestern Colorado (Hauck 1991). The site was located within sandstone bedrock exposures.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Site 42DC 1156 (see Maps 3 and 10)

Site consists of dispersed lithic scatter of about five fragments of debitage and a Paleoindian point midsection (see Figure 1A) that may be a portion of an Eden point. This site is located on a flat surface southeast of an isolated low knoll. The artifacts are all localized Parachute Creek chert.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Site 42DC 1157 (see Maps 3 and 11)

Site consists of scoured rock shelter-ledge complex with an associated lithic scatter of 5+ fragments of Parachute Creek chert debitage. Also observed on the site was a single, simple biface or chopper exhibiting alternate flaking. Similar tools have been observed in Paleoindian through Middle Archaic large game butchering contexts.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Site 42DC 1158 (see Maps 3 and 12)





Site consists of series of scoured rock shelters, an associated lithic scatter, one complete projectile point and a point midsection, biface tools and hearths. Parachute Creek chert tools and debitage predominate on the site. Features include three hearths and rock shelters. A complete projectile point of Plano through Early Archaic manufacture and a Paleoindian point midsection were collected (see Figures 1B and 1C).


Nat. Register Status: Significant

Justification: Site contains depth potential and context integrity. This site meets the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.


Recommendation: Avoidance during any surface development related to Units 14-3 and 15-3.

MAP 10: SITES 42DC 1155 & 42DC 1156






42DC 1156
(FS-1022)



FS-1012

42DC 1158



FS-1007

42DC1159
FS-1011







FIGURE 1



MAP 11: SITE 42DC 1157



Legend


- ▲ Datum Location
- × Artifact Location
- Extent of Site

MAP 12: SITE 42DC 1158



Legend

- ▲ Datum Location
- × Artifact Location
- Feature
- Drainage Channel
- Artifact Concentration



Site 42DC 1159 (see Maps 3 and 13)

Site consists of dispersed lithic scatter located on the western slope drainage area of an isolated low knoll. Artifacts include Parachute Creek chert debitage, two bifaces and a Paleoindian (Goshen style) projectile point base (see Figure 1D).

Nat. Register Status: Significant

Justification: Site contains depth potential and context integrity. This site meets the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance during any surface development related to Unit 15-3.

Site 42DC 1160 (see Maps 3 and 14)

Site consists of dispersed lithic scatter located in a shallow drainage area southeast of an isolated low knoll. Artifacts include Parachute Creek chert debitage, a unifacially retouched scraper and a core/chopper and projectile point (see Figure 2A) that was located some outside the main lithic scatter. The dart point appears to be an Elko Eared variety which correlates with the Early Archaic occupational phase of the Uinta Basin.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Site 42DC 1161 (see Maps 3 and 15)

Site consists of an open occupation and lithic scatter located on a low ridge. Artifacts include a Paleoindian 'Alberta' point (see Figure 2B) and a number of bifaces. The dominant lithic material type is the local float consisting of Parachute Creek chert.

Nat. Register Status: Significant

Justification: Site contains depth potential and context integrity. This site meets the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance during any surface development related to Unit 16-3.

Site 42DC 1162 (see Maps 3 and 16)


Site consists of an open occupation and lithic scatter located on a low ridge and into an adjacent plain to the south. Artifacts include a quartzite hammerstone, Parachute Creek chert cores, two bifaces and lithic debitage in all stages of lithic tool manufacture. The dominant lithic material type is Parachute Creek chert debitage.

Nat. Register Status: Significant



Justification: Site contains depth potential and context integrity. This site meets the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance during any surface development related to Unit 9-3.

MAP 13: SITE 42DC 1159





Scale



Legend


- ▲ Datum Location
- × Artifact Location
- Extent of Site

MAP 14: SITE 42DC 1160




Legend

- ▲ Datum Location
- × Artifact Location







A
42DC 1160
(FS-1031)






B
42DC 1161

FIGURE 2

MAP 15: SITE 42DC 1161



MAP 16: SITE 42DC 1162



Legend

- ▲ Datum Location
- × Artifact Location

Site 42DC 1163 (see Maps 3 and 17)

Site consists of a lithic scatter located on a sandy plain with a gradual slope on and around an isolated outcrop. Artifacts are limited to Parachute Creek chert debitage in all stages of lithic tool reduction and manufacture.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Site 42DC 1164 (see Maps 3 and 18)

Site consists of a dispersed lithic scatter located in and near a shallow drainage at the bottom of a steep hill. Artifacts include Parachute Creek chert debitage in all stages of lithic tool manufacture and several choppers and scrapers.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Site 42DC 1165 (see Maps 3 and 19)

Site consists of a dispersed scatter of lithic debitage, tools, ground stone and several deflated hearth scatters located on a ledge near the bottom of a slope. Ledges run westward from the site with scattered artifacts along the top and bottom of the ledge complex. Parachute Creek chert is predominate on the site.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Site 42DC 1166 (see Maps 3 and 20)




Site consists of a dispersed lithic scatter located in and near a shallow drainage at the bottom of a steep hill. Artifacts include Parachute Creek chert debitage in all stages of lithic tool manufacture and several choppers and scrapers.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.


MAP 17: SITE 42DC 1163





Legend

- ▲ Datum Location
- × Artifact Location
- Extent of Site

MAP 18: SITE 42DC 1164





Scale



Legend

- ▲ Primary Datum
- × Artifact Location





MAP 19: SITE 42DC 1165



Legend

- ▲ Primary Datum
- × Artifact Location
- Feature

MAP 20: SITE 42DC 1166



Site 42DC 1171 (see Maps 2 and 21)

Site 42DC 1171 consists of a limited scatter of lithic around and below a low sandstone ledge on a hill slope. Artifacts recorded at the site consist a few flakes of lithic debitage and two discrete concentrations of oxidized sandstone fragments. The site possesses marginal depth potential. No diagnostic artifacts were observed on the site.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Site 42DC 1174 (see Maps 5 and 22)

Site consists of an open occupation and lithic scatter located on a low bench. Artifacts include an Elko Eared or Pinto dart point (see Figure 3B) and a number of unifaces, bifaces (see Figure 3A), preforms and other tools. Lithic debitage includes waste from all stages of tool manufacture and reduction. The dominant lithic material type is parachute creek chert, which is commonly found in this locality as float. Site 42DC 1174 is probably related with nearby Site 42DC 1175.

Nat. Register Status: Significant

Justification: Site contains depth potential and context integrity. This site meets the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance during any surface development related to Unit 5-22.

Site 42DC 1175 (see Maps 6 and 23)

Site consists of a prehistoric lithic scatter located in a sandy swale above the drainage and flood plain. The scatter is roughly elliptical in configuration and very large occupying an area about 200 meters in length. A Rocker Side-notched dart point (see Figure 3C) was collected from the site. This variety of dart point was utilized during the Middle Archaic stage of occupation on the Colorado Plateau and Wasatch Plateau. No features were observed or recorded on this site. Isolate 1598R/x1 (see Figure 5D), an early Paleoindian projectile point probably of the Midland variety, was collected outside this site's northern periphery. Site 42DC 1175 is probably related with nearby Site 42DC 1174.

Nat. Register Status: Significant

Justification: Site contains depth potential and context integrity. This site meets the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.



Recommendation: Avoidance during any surface development related to Unit 5-22.

Site 42DC 1176 (see Map 6)

Site consists of a small lithic scatter situated on the south bench above a large ephemeral drainage. The scatter is composed of five flakes and four expediency tools all of which probably represent a single use locus. No features were noted.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant



MAP 21: SITE 42DC 1171



Legend


- ▲ Primary Datum
- × Artifact Location
- Hearth
- + Well-head Location
- - - Access Road
- Extent of Site

MAP 22: SITE 42DC 1174




Legend


- ▲ Primary Datum
- × Artifact Location
- Hearth



A
42DC 1174
(FS-1033)







B
42DC 1174
(FS-1002)



C
42DC 1175
(FS-1038)

FIGURE 3

MAP 23: SITE 42DC 1175



Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Site 42DC 1177 (see Maps 4 and 24)

This site consists of a dispersed lithic scatter of Parachute Creek Chert flakes, all highly patinated, lying on an eroded, hardpan clay, surface between a major drainage on the north and the stabilized dune complex associated with the mesa above and to the south. Debitage is mainly primary and secondary flakes of the tap and test or expediency tool manufacture variety.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Site 42UN 2532 (see Map 4)

The site consists of a diffuse scatter of lithic debitage, cores and bifaces or choppers. The majority of artifacts are of the tap-and-test variety of cores and primary flakes. The entire site area, which covers about 50 to 60 acres, is littered with artifacts and lithic materials and may have served as a lithic resource locus for the area.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Site 42UN 2533 (see Maps 4 and 25)

This site consists of a diffuse scatter of lithic debitage, cores, one biface and numerous scatters of oxidized and fire cracked rock. Two discreet, deflated hearth scatters were noted. Most debitage was noted in blowouts in thin sand deposits over bedrock. Some artifacts appear to be in original context, but little depth was noted.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant




Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Site 42UN 2534 (see Maps 4 and 26)

The site consists of a shallow rock shelter/alcove with a scatter of oxidized stone leading from the shelter across a sandstone ledge bench. A diffuse scatter of lithic debitage, cores and bifaces or choppers also extends from the shelter area to the lip of the ledge. Erosion has removed all of the shelter deposits, and little of the bench contexts are intact. This site is part of an Archaic





MAP 24: SITE 42DC 1177




Legend

- ▲ Primary Datum
- × Artifact Location
- + Paleontological Locus



MAP 25: SITE 42UN 2533



MAP 26: SITE 42UN 2534



Scale



Legend

- ▲ Primary Datum
- × Artifact Location

large game hunting/kill/butchering/roasting complex that includes 42UN 2535, 2536, and 2537.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.


Site 42UN 2535 (see Maps 4 and 27)

The site consists of a lithic scatter containing chipped stone tools and debitage. There are spatially delimited concentrations of tools, secondary flakes and tertiary flakes, while decortication flakes, cores and choppers are diffuse throughout the site. The primary lithic material is Parachute Creek chert with some quartzite and a fine grained grey chert. Most artifacts are heavily patinated, suggesting great age. Preliminary evaluations suggest that this is a special use site such as a kill/butcher locus since artifacts are so tightly clustered with most of the bifaces concentrated in a small (2 x 2 meter) areas. This site is part of an Archaic large game hunting/kill/butchering/roasting complex that includes 42UN 2534, 2536, and 2537.

Nat. Register Status: Significant

Justification: Site contains depth potential and context integrity. This site meets the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.




Recommendation: Avoidance during any surface development related to Unit 7-35.



42UN 2535

FIGURE 4

MAP 27: SITE 42UN 2535



Legend

- ▲ Datum Location
- × Artifact Location
- + Benchmark
- - - Access Road

Site 42UN 2536 (see Maps 4 and 28)

The site consists of a lithic scatter containing chipped stone tools and debitage. The primary lithic material is Parachute Creek chert with some quartzite and a fine grained brown chert. Several chopping tools are present, but no diagnostics were observed. This site is part of an Archaic large game hunting/kill/butchering/roasting complex that includes 42UN 2534, 2535, and 2537.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Site 42UN 2537 (see Maps 4 and 29)

This site is an open occupation and lithic scatter consisting of several concentrations of oxidized stone, one intact roasting hearth, and a diffuse scatter of lithic debitage, cores and bifaces or choppers. Occupational debris is revealed in a linear pattern in blowouts within and alongside a large dune field. This site is part of an Archaic large game hunting/kill/butchering/roasting complex that includes 42UN 2534, 2535, and 2536.

Nat. Register Status: Significant

Justification: Site contains depth potential and context integrity. This site meets the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance during any surface development related to Units 1-35, 2-35, and 7-35.

Site 42UN 2538 (see Maps 4 and 30)

This site consists of a shallow two chambered rock shelter in a sandstone outcrop overlooking a broad drainage to the south. Erosional activity has scoured the shelter, but plenty of debitage, tools and oxidized, heat-cracked rock are visible on the slope and eroding from the sand dunes below.

Nat. Register Status: Significant

Justification: Site contains depth potential and context integrity. This site meets the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance during any surface development related to Unit 9-35.



Site 42UN 2552 (see Maps 4 and 31)

This site consists of a rock shelter in a sandstone ledge complex overlooking a broad drainage to the south. Erosional activity has resulted in the collapse of much of the roof over the shelter effectively sealing the context. Reduction debitage and tools are being exposed within the rock shelter and its associated deposition area.

Nat. Register Status: Significant

Justification: Site contains depth potential and context integrity. This site meets the




MAP 28: SITE 42UN 2536



Legend

- ▲ Primary Datum
- × Artifact Location
- + Well-head Location




MAP 29: SITE 42UN 2537



Legend

- ▲ Datum Location
- × Artifact Location
- Hearth Location
- Extent of Site
- - - Access Road




MAP 30: SITE 42UN 2538



Legend

- ▲ Primary Datum
- × Artifact Location
- Hearth Location

MAP 31: SITE 42UN 2552



Legend

- ▲ Primary Datum
- × Artifact Location
- Hearth
- Drainage Channel

standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance during any surface development related to Unit 2-2.

Site 42UN 2566 (see Maps 4 and 32)

This site is situated on a bench overlooking a wide drainage to the north. The site consists of a small scatter of lithic debitage, comprised mostly of Parachute Creek Chert, and three tools, also composed of Parachute Creek Chert. A fragment of oxidized sandstone was also noted at the site.

Nat. Register Status: Not Significant

Justification: Site lacks depth potential and context integrity. This site does not meet the standards for significance under criterion d established in 36 FR 60.6.

Recommendation: Avoidance.

Isolated Artifacts

A total of four isolated diagnostic artifacts was observed and recorded during the evaluations within these seven acreage tracts. A number of isolated tap and test flakes and discarded bifaces probably related to butchering episodes was also observed during the inventories; these artifacts were too numerous to warrant reporting.



Isolated artifact **1598B/x1** (see Figure 5A) was collected in the southeast quarter of Section 3, Township 9 South, Range 16 East (see Map 3). It consists of a fragmented Paleoindian projectile point possibly of the Plainview variety. The base is missing so that it is not possible to determine the most pertinent diagnostics for this artifact. It was constructed from tan chert of unknown geological origin.

Isolated artifact **1598K/x2** (see Figure 5B) was collected in the southwest quarter of Section 2, Township 9 South, Range 16 East (see Map 3). It consists of a complete Archaic or late Paleoindian dart point manufactured from dark gray oolitic chert of unknown geological origin.

Isolated artifact **1598R/x1** (see Figure 5D) was collected in the northwest quarter of Section 22, Township 9 South, Range 17 East (see Map 6). It consists of the proximal fragment of a highly patinated bifacially prepared tool which may be a Paleoindian projectile point of the Midland type. It was manufactured from locally available Parachute Creek Chert.


Isolated artifact **1598R/x2** (see Figure 5C) was collected in the northeast quarter of Section 22, Township 9 South, Range 17 East (see Map 6). It consists of a nearly intact Pinto dart point of Early Archaic origin. This tool was prepared from either a variant of Parachute Creek Chert or is a type of chert derived from an unknown geological origin.

MAP 32: SITE 42UN 2566




Legend


- ▲ Primary Datum
- × Artifact Location




A
1598B/x1



B
1598K/x2



1598R/x2



D
1598R/x1

FIGURE 5

Paleontological Assessments

Three paleontological loci were observed during the survey. These loci include an intact turtle, mandible fragments from an oreodont, and an intact crocodile mandible. A separate report on these loci has been prepared by Rod Sheetz, consulting paleontologist (see Sheetz 1998).

DISCUSSION

In any assessment of this type, it is useful to identify the site densities that are apparent in the data. In *ARCHAEOLOGICAL EVALUATIONS OF THE NORTHERN COLORADO PLATEAU* (AERC Paper No. 45), a basic ratio of sites per acre is used to quantify observed densities (cf., Hauck 1991:45). The site:acre ratios noted for Uinta Basin studies in that report begin at 1:050 as recorded during the Texas-Missouri Creek study conducted by Gordon and Kranzush (Gordon, et al. 1983). The high end of the spectrum is a ratio of 1:850 recorded during the U-a and U-b Oil shale lease study (Berry and Berry 1976). These various ratios were ranked as I, II, III, and IV. The rank density of I ranged between 1:32 and 1:78 ratios. Rank II ratios ranged between the ratios 1:97 and 1:132. Rank III ratios were between 1:201 and 1:273. Finally, the rank IV ratios were between 1:327 and 1:540.

With 28 sites reported in the 3,919 acres reported herein, a basic ratio of 1 site per 139.9 acres is identified, or 1:140, for the entire project area. This ratio is associated with the low end of rank II densities. It is comparable with the 1:152 ratio generated during AERC's 1989 inventory in the Red Wash locality to the east (Hauck, et al. 1990) but less dense than the 1:110 ratio defined during AERC's Mapco sample inventories in this general locality (Hauck and Norman 1980).

The site density within the Inland study varies from tract to tract. For instance, in the 689 acres evaluated in the Ashley Unit west of Wells Draw, one site is reported. This locality thus exhibits a low density ratio of 1:689 (falling in low end of rank IV) which is significantly less dense than the rank II ratio of 1:103 identified in the South Wells Draw Unit where 12 sites are reported in 1,240 acres. The two other Units provided ratios closer to the general project mean of 1:140: the Odekirk Springs Unit (12 sites in 1,529 acres) has a ratio of 1:127(rank II), while the South Pleasant Valley Unit (3 sites in 461 acres) exhibits a ratio of 1:154(lower end of rank II).

The site density ratios registered throughout the Inland project area are not the only interesting factor revealed during this study. The number of Paleoindian projectile points recovered from sites and as isolated finds is also very significant. Points having a definite Paleoindian origin are shown as Figures 1A, 1C, 1D, 2B, 5A and 5D. Possible Plano-Early Archaic transitional points include those shown in Figures 1B, 4, and 5B. The remaining diagnostics are mostly derived from Early Archaic contexts and include Figures 2A, 3B, and 5C. Figure 3C is thought to be an Elko Rocker side-notch of Middle Archaic derivation.

If our assessment of these diagnostic artifacts is correct, 46% of the diagnostic points recovered are Paleoindian, and 69% are both definite and possible Paleoindian. Only 30% of the diagnostics are definitely of Archaic derivation--and these are all of Early to Middle Archaic origin. The lack of Late Archaic, Formative (with the exception of the Late Formative pot-drop site, 42DC 1155), and Late Prehistoric (Shoshonean/Ute) in the project area is also very significant. Our assessment is that water and associated flora and fauna were readily available from the late Pleistocene into the early Holocene within this Castle Peak Draw to Pariette Bench locality. Those resources began to diminish by 7,000 years B.P. (before present) during the Early Archaic and were definitely lost by the end of the Middle Archaic or ca. 4,600 years B.P. accounting for the rapid reduction of hunting occupations and sites in this locality after that date. Further research needs to be initiated to address the viability of this hypothesis.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

AERC recommends that a cultural resource clearance be granted to Inland Resources, Inc. relative to the development within these various land parcels based upon adherence to the following stipulations:

1. Sites 42DC 1149, 1150, 1158, 1159, 1161, 1162, 1174, 1175 and 42UN 2535, 2537, 2538, and 2552 should be avoided during any development within the Inland tracts. These sites are significant resources and should be protected from disturbance and vandalism.
2. all vehicular traffic, personnel movement, construction and restoration operations should be confined to the surveyed zones and to the existing roadways;
3. all personnel should refrain from collecting artifacts and from disturbing any cultural resources in the area; and
4. the authorized official should be consulted should cultural remains from subsurface deposits be exposed during construction work or if the need arises to relocate or otherwise alter the location of the exploration area.



F. Richard Hauck, Ph.D.
President and Principal
Investigator

References

Berry, Michael S. and Claudia F. Berry

- 1976 *An Archaeological Reconnaissance of the White River Area, Northeastern Utah. Antiquities Section Selected Papers, Vol. II, No. 4, Division of State History, Salt Lake City.*

Fike, Richard E. and H. Blaine Phillips

- 1984 *A Nineteenth Century Ute Burial from Northeastern Utah, Cultural Resource Series No. 16, Bureau of Land Management, Salt Lake City.*

Gordon, E. Kinzie, K.J. Kranzush, D.J. Knox, V.E. Keen, and C.A. Englewood

- 1983 *A Cultural Resources Inventory of Texas-Missouri-Evacuation Creeks, Colorado. Bureau of Land Management Cultural Resource Series, No. 15, Denver.*

Hauck, F. Richard

- 1981 *Cultural Resource Inventory of Nine Proposed Well Locations and Access Roads in the Coyote Basin Locality of Uintah County, Utah and in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Diamond Shamrock, DS-81-2, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.*
- 1982 *Cultural Resource Inventory of Five Proposed Well Locations and Access Roads in the Eightmile Flat and Castle Peak Localities of Uintah and Duchesne Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Diamond Shamrock, DS-82-5, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.*
- 1984a *"Excavation" (in) A Nineteenth Century Ute Burial From Northeast Utah, Cultural Resource Series No. 16, Bureau of Land Management, Salt Lake City.*
- 1984b *Cultural Resource Evaluations of Seven Proposed Well Locations Situated in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Uintah County, Utah. Report prepared for Overthrust Oil and Royalty Company, OORC-84-1, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.*
- 1991 *Archaeological Evaluations on the Northern Colorado Plateau Cultural Area, AERC Paper No.45, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.*
- 1992a *Cultural Resource Evaluations of Four Proposed Well Locations in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-92-2, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.*

- 1992b Addendum to Cultural Resource Evaluations of Four Proposed Well Locations in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-9204, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1992c Cultural Resource Evaluations of Seven Proposed Well Locations in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-92-5, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1992d Cultural Resource Evaluation of a Proposed Water Pipeline Corridor in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-92-6, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1992e Cultural Resource Evaluation of Seven Proposed Well Locations in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-92-8, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1993a Cultural Resource Evaluation of Nine Proposed Well Locations in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-93-1, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1993b Addendum to Cultural Resource Evaluation of Nine Proposed Well Locations in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-93-2, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1993c Cultural Resource Evaluation of a Pipeline Corridor Situated in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-93-3, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1996a Cultural Resource Evaluation of Four Proposed Well Locations and Access Routes in the Castle Peak Draw Locality - Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-95-8A, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1996b Cultural Resource Evaluation of 13 Proposed Well Locations and Access Routes in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Uintah and Duchesne Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-96-8B, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.

- 1996c Cultural Resource Evaluation of Two Proposed Well Locations in the Castle Peak Draw Locality - Big Wash Locality of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-96-8C, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1996d Cultural Resource Evaluation of Two Proposed Well Locations and Access Routes in the Wells Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-96-1, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1996e Cultural Resource Evaluation of Three Proposed Pipeline Corridor Complexes in the Castle Peak Draw - Pariette Bench Locality of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-96-3, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1996f Cultural Resource Evaluation of Four Proposed Well Locations with Associated Access Road and Pipeline Corridor Complexes in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Inland Production Company, IPC-96-1, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1996g Cultural Resource Evaluation of Ten Proposed Well Locations with Associated Road Complexes in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Inland Production Company, IPC-96-2, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1996h Cultural Resource Evaluation of Five Proposed Monument Federal Well Locations in the Pariette Bench Locality of Uintah County, Utah. Report prepared for Equitable Resources Energy Company, BLCR-96-7, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1996i Cultural Resource Evaluation of 11 Proposed Monument Federal Well Locations in the Wells Draw, Castle Peak Draw, Pleasant Valley, & Pariette Bench Localities of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Equitable Resources Energy Company, BLCR-96-2, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1997a Cultural Resource Evaluation of Seven Proposed Well Locations With Associated Road Corridors in the Wells Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Inland Production Company, IPC-97-1, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1997b Cultural Resource Evaluation of Proposed North Ashley Unit No. 7-1 in the Well Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Inland Production Company, IPC-97-1A, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.

- 1997c Cultural Resource Evaluation of Proposed Ashley Federal Units 6-1 and 11-1 in the Wells Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Inland Production Company, IPC-97-3, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1998a Cultural Resource Evaluations of Proposed Well Locations in the South Wells Draw Unit, South Pleasant Valley Unit, and Odekirk Springs Lease Areas in the Wells Draw, Pariette Bench, and Castle Peak Draw Localities in Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Inland Production Company, IPC-98-1, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1998b Cultural Resource Evaluation of a Series of Potential Drilling Localities in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Inland Resources, Inc., IPC-98-2, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1998c Cultural Resource Evaluation of a Series of Potential Drilling Localities in the Castle Peak Draw — Pariette Bench Localities of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Inland Resources, Inc., IPC-98-3a, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1998d Cultural Resource Evaluation of 16 Proposed Inland Units in the South Wells Draw — Castle Peak Draw — Pariette Bench Localities of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Inland Resources, Inc., IPC-98-3b, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1998e Cultural Resource Evaluation of Various Large Tracts in the Wells Draw to Pariette Bench Locality in Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Inland Resources, Inc., IPC-98-4, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1998f Cultural Resource Evaluation of Two Tracts for Proposed Inland Units in the Wells Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Inland Resources, Inc., IPC-98-5, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.

Hauck, F. Richard and Glade Hadden

- 1993a Cultural Resource Evaluation of Seven Proposed Well Locations in the Monument Buttes Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-93-4, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.

- 1993b Cultural Resource Evaluation of Four Proposed Well Locations in the Monument Buttes Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-93-5, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1993c Cultural Resource Evaluation of Eight Proposed Well Locations in the Monument Buttes Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-93-9, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1993d Cultural Resource Evaluation of Four Proposed Well Locations in the Monument Buttes and Pleasant Valley Localities of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-93-10, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1993e Cultural Resource Evaluation of Seven Proposed Wells in the Monument Buttes and Pleasant Valley Localities of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-93-11, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1994a Cultural Resource Evaluation of Eight Proposed Wells in the Pleasant Valley Locality of Uintah County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-94-3, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1994b Cultural Resource Evaluation of Proposed Water Injection Line Lateral Segments in the Monument Buttes Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-94-4, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1994c Cultural Resource Evaluation of Proposed Well Locations and Access Routes in the Pariette Draw - Castle Peak Draw - Eight Mile Flat Localities of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-94-9, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1994d Cultural Resource Evaluation of Proposed Well Locations and Access Routes in the Castle Peak Draw and Eight Mile Flat Localities of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-94-10, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1994e Cultural Resource Evaluation of Two Proposed Balcron Monument State Well Locations and Access Routed in the Castle Draw Locality of Uintah County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-94-10b, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.

- 1994f Cultural Resource Evaluation of Proposed Well Locations and Access Routes in the Monument Buttes and Pleasant Valley Localities of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-94-11, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1995a Cultural Resource Evaluation of Proposed Well Locations and Access Routes in the Monument Buttes Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-95-1 & 2, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1995b Cultural Resource Evaluation of Nine Proposed Well Locations and Access Routes in the Castle Peak Draw and Eight Mile Flat Localities of Duchesne and Uintah Counties, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-95-5, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.
- 1995c Cultural Resource Evaluation of a Series of Proposed Water Return Pipeline Routes in the Castle Peak Draw Locality of Duchesne County, Utah. Report prepared for Balcron Oil Company, BLCR-95-7, Archeological-Environmental Research Company, Bountiful.
- 1997 Cultural Resource Evaluation of the Ashley Unit, South Wells Draw Unit and South Pleasant Valley Unit Lease Areas in the Wells Draw & Pleasant Valley Localities in Duchesne County, Utah Report prepared for Inland Production Company, IPC-97-5A, Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.

Hauck, F.R. and G. Norman

- 1980 Final Report on the Mapco River Bend Cultural Mitigation Study, AERC Paper No. 18 of the Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.

Hauck, F.R. and Dennis Weder

- 1989 Pariette Overlook -- A Paleo-Indian Quarry Site in the Pariette Draw Locality of Uintah County, Utah. AERC Paper No. 42 of the Archeological-Environmental Research Corporation, Bountiful.

Sheetz, Rod

- 1998 Locality-Specific Paleontological Assessment. Report prepared for Inland Production Company, Museum of Western Colorado, Grand Junction.

Stokes, W.L.

- 1977 Physiographic Subdivisions of Utah. Map 43, Utah Geological and Mineral Survey, Salt Lake City.

Stokes, W.L. and James H. Madsen

- 1961 Geologic Map of Utah. College of Mines and Mineral Industries, University of Utah, Salt Lake City.

Report Acceptable Yes ___ No ___

Mitigation Acceptable Yes ___ No ___

Comments: _____

Summary Report of
Inspection for Cultural Resources

Cultural Resource Evaluation of Various Large Tracts in the
Wells Draw to Pariette Bench Locality of Duchesne & Uintah
Counties, Utah

1. Report Title

Inland Resources, Inc.

2. Development Company _____

7 25 1998

UT-98-54937

3. Report Date 4. Antiquities Permit No. _____

A E R C

IPC - 98 - 4

Uintah &

5. Responsible Institution County Duchesne

6. Fieldwork

Location: TWN 0 9 S . RNG 15 E Sections 12
TWN 0 9 S . RNG 16 E Sections 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10
TWN 0 8 S . RNG 17 E Sections 35, 36
TWN 0 9 S . RNG 17 E Sections 2, 15, 18, 22

7. Resource Area Diamond Mountain

8. Description of Examination Procedures: The archeologists directed by R. Hauck, or Glade Hadden, conducted intensive cultural resource evaluations of 7 project tracts situated in the Wells Draw to Pariette Bench locality. The survey was conducted by walking 15 to 20 meter-wide transects in the parcels.

9. Linear Miles Surveyed
and/or 3,919
Definable Acres Surveyed
and/or
Legally Undefinable
Acres Surveyed

10. Inventory Type I
R = Reconnaissance
I = Intensive
S = Statistical Sample

11. Description of Findings: 12. Number Sites Found .2.8

A total of 28 archaeological sites was recorded during this inventory. These sites include 42DC1149, 42DC1150, 42DC 1155-1166, 1171, 1174-1177, and 42Un 2532-2538, 2552 and 2566. Sites 42DC 1149, 1150, 1158, 1159, 1161, 1162, 1174, 1175 and 42UN 2535, 2537, 2538 and 2552 are considered significant resources.

(No sites = 0)

13. Collection: .Y.
(Y = Yes, N = No)

14. Actual/Potential National Register Properties Affected:

The National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) has been consulted and no registered properties will be affected by the proposed development.

15. Literature Search, Location/ Date: Utah SHPO 11-6-97 Vernal BLM 3-18-98

16. Conclusion/ Recommendations:

AERC recommends that a cultural resource clearance be granted to Inland Resources, Inc. for the proposed developments based on the following stipulations:

1. All significant sites (42DC 1149, 1150, 1158, 1159, 1161, 1162, 1174, 1175 and 42UN 2535, 2537, 2538 and 2552) should be avoided during any surface disturbance or development in their vicinities.
2. All vehicular traffic, personnel movement, construction and restoration operations should be confined to the surveyed zones and to the existing roadways;
3. All personnel should refrain from collecting artifacts and from disturbing any cultural resources in the area; and
4. The authorized official should be consulted should cultural remains from subsurface deposits be exposed during construction work or if the need arises to relocate or otherwise alter the location of the exploration area.

17. Signature of Administrator & Field Supervisor

Administrator:



Field
Supervisor:

UT 8100-3 (2/85)

**WORKSHEET
APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO DRILL**

APD RECEIVED: 04/14/2000

API NO. ASSIGNED: 43-013-32151

WELL NAME: S WELLS DRAW 1-9-9-16
 OPERATOR: INLAND PRODUCTION (N5160)
 CONTACT: JON HOLST

PHONE NUMBER: 303-893-0102

PROPOSED LOCATION:

NENE 09 090S 160E
 SURFACE: 0685 FNL 0549 FEL
 BOTTOM: 0685 FNL 0549 FEL
 DUCHESNE
 MONUMENT BUTTE (105)

INSPECT LOCATN BY: / /		
Tech Review	Initials	Date
Engineering		
Geology		
Surface		

LEASE TYPE: 1-Federal
 LEASE NUMBER: UTU-65207
 SURFACE OWNER: 1-Federal

PROPOSED FORMATION: GRRV

RECEIVED AND/OR REVIEWED:


- Plat
- Bond: Fed[1] Ind[] Sta[] Fee[]
(No. 4488944)
- Potash (Y/N)
- Oil Shale (Y/N) *190 - 5 (B)
- Water Permit
(No. MUNICIPAL)
- RDCC Review (Y/N)
(Date: _____)
- Fee Surf Agreement (Y/N)

LOCATION AND SITING:

- R649-2-3. Unit _____
- R649-3-2. General
Siting: _____
- R649-3-3. Exception
- Drilling Unit
Board Cause No: _____
Eff Date: _____
Siting: _____
- R649-3-11. Directional Drill

COMMENTS: X mon. Butte Field (SOP), separate file

STIPULATIONS: ① FEDERAL APPROVAL



Utah Oil Gas and Mining


Serving the Industry, Protecting the Environment

OPERATOR: INLAND PRODUCTION CO. (N5160)

FIELD: MONUMENT BUTTE (105)

SEC. 9, T 9 S, R 16 E,

COUNTY: DUCHESNE SPACING: STATE 40 ACRES



PREPARED
DATE: 20-APR-2000



State of Utah
DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Kathleen Clarke
Executive Director
Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
PO Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
801-538-5340
801-359-3940 (Fax)
801-538-7223 (TDD)

April 24, 2000

Inland Production Company
410 17th Street, Suite 700
Denver, CO 80202

Re: S Wells Draw 1-9-9-16 Well, 685' FNL, 549' FEL, NE NE, Sec. 9, T. 9S, R. 16E,
Duchesne County, Utah

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the provisions and requirements of Utah Code Ann. § 40-6-1 *et seq.*, Utah Administrative Code R649-3-1 *et seq.*, and the attached Conditions of Approval, approval to drill the referenced well is granted.

This approval shall expire one year from the above date unless substantial and continuous operation is underway, or a request for extension is made prior to the expiration date. The API identification number assigned to this well is 43-013-32151.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "John R. Baza".

John R. Baza
Associate Director

er

Enclosures

cc: Duchesne County Assessor
Bureau of Land Management, Vernal District Office

Operator: Inland Production Company
Well Name & Number S Wells Draw 1-9-9-16
API Number: 43-013-32151
Lease: UTU-65207
Location: NE NE Sec. 9 T. 9S R. 16E

Conditions of Approval

1. General

Compliance with the requirements of Utah Admin. R. 649-1 *et seq.*, the Oil and Gas Conservation General Rules, and the applicable terms and provisions of the approved Application for permit to drill.

2. Notification Requirements

Notify the Division within 24 hours of spudding the well.

- Contact Carol Daniels at (801) 538-5284.

Notify the Division prior to commencing operations to plug and abandon the well.

- Contact Dan Jarvis at (801) 538-5338
- Contact Robert Krueger at (801) 538-5274.

3. Reporting Requirements

All required reports, forms and submittals will be promptly filed with the Division, including but not limited to the Entity Action Form (Form 6), Report of Water Encountered During Drilling (Form 7), Weekly Progress Reports for drilling and completion operations, and Sundry Notices and Reports on Wells requesting approval of change of plans or other operational actions.

4. State approval of this well does not supersede the required federal approval which must be obtained prior to drilling.

RECEIVED

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

APR 14 2000

BLM VERNAL, UTAH

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO DRILL, DEEPEN, OR PLUG BACK

5. LEASE DESIGNATION AND SERIAL NO. UTU-65207
6. IF INDIAN, ALLOTTEE OR TRIBE NAME N/A
7. UNIT AGREEMENT NAME N/A
8. FARM OR LEASE NAME S. Wells Draw
9. WELL NO. 1-9-9-16
10. FIELD AND POOL OR WILDCAT Monument Butte
11. SEC., T., R., M. OR BLK AND SURVEY OR AREA NE NE Sec. 9, T9S, R16E
12. County Duchesne 13. STATE UT

1a. TYPE OF WORK DRILL [X] DEEPEN []
1b. TYPE OF WELL OIL WELL [X] GAS WELL [] OTHER []
SINGLE ZONE [] MULTIPLE ZONE [X]

2. NAME OF OPERATOR Inland Production Company

3. ADDRESS OF OPERATOR 410 - 17th Street, Suite 700, Denver, CO 80202 Phone: (303) 893-0102

4. LOCATION OF WELL (Report location clearly and in accordance with any State requirements.*) At Surface NE NE 685.4' fri, 549.1' fel At proposed Prod. Zone

14. DISTANCE IN MILES AND DIRECTION FROM NEAREST TOWN OR POST OFFICE* Approx 12.7 miles southwest of Myton, Utah

15. DISTANCE FROM PROPOSED* LOCATION TO NEAREST PROPERTY OR LEASE LINE, FT. (Also to nearest drlg. unit line, if any) Approx 685' f/lse line & 685' f/unit line
16. NO. OF ACRES IN LEASE 720
17. NO. OF ACRES ASSIGNED TO THIS WELL 40

18. DISTANCE FROM PROPOSED LOCATION* TO NEAREST WELL, DRILLING, COMPLETED, OR APPLIED FOR ON THIS LEASE, FT. Approx. 1107'
19. PROPOSED DEPTH 6500'
20. ROTARY OR CABLE TOOLS Rotary

21. ELEVATIONS (Show whether DF, RT, GR, etc.) 5704' GR
22. APPROX. DATE WORK WILL START*

Table with 5 columns: SIZE OF HOLE, SIZE OF CASING, WEIGHT/FOOT, SETTING DEPTH, QUANTITY OF CEMENT. Content: Refer to Monument Butte Field SOP's Drilling Program/Casing Design

Inland Production Company proposes to drill this well in accordance with the attached exhibits.

The Conditions of Approval are also attached.

IN ABOVE SPACE DESCRIBE PROPOSED PROGRAM: If proposal is to deepen or plug back, give data on present productive zone and proposed new productive zone. If proposal is to drill or deepen directionally, give pertinent data on subsurface locations and measured and true vertical depths. Give blowout preventer program, if any.

24. SIGNED [Signature] TITLE Counsel DATE 4/5/00

(This space for Federal or State office use)

NOTICE OF APPROVAL APPROVAL DATE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL ATTACHED

Application approval does not warrant or certify that the applicant holds legal or equitable title to those rights in the subject lease which would entitle the applicant to conduct operations thereon.

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL, IF ANY: APPROVED BY [Signature] TITLE Assistant Field Manager Mineral Resources DATE 9/19/00

RECEIVED

*See Instructions On Reverse Side

Title 18 U.S.C. Section 1001, makes it a crime for any person knowingly and willfully to make to any department or agency of the United States any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations as to any matter within its jurisdiction.

OCT 2 2000 DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL
APPLICATION FOR PERMIT TO DRILL

Company/Operator: Inland Production Company

Well Name & Number: S. Wells Draw 1-9-9-16

API Number: 43-013-32151

Lease Number: U -65207

Location: NENE Sec. 09 T. 9S R. 16E

For more specific details on notification requirements, please check the Conditions of Approval for Notice to Drill and Surface Use Program.

RECEIVED

OCT 02 2000

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL FOR NOTICE TO DRILL

Approval of this application does not warrant or certify that the applicant holds legal or equitable title to those rights in the subject lease which would entitle the applicant to conduct operations thereon.

Be aware fire restrictions may be in effect when location is being constructed and/or when well is being drilled. Contact the appropriate Surface Management Agency for information.

A. **DRILLING PROGRAM**

1. **Casing Program and Auxiliary Equipment**

As a minimum, the usable water resources shall be isolated and/or protected by having a cement top for the production casing at least 200 ft. above the base of the usable water zone, identified at $\pm 1,819$ ft.

RECEIVED

OCT 02 2000

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING

**SURFACE USE PROGRAM
Conditions of Approval (COA)**

Plans For Reclamation of Location:

All seeding for reclamation operations at this location shall use the following seed mixture:

black sage	Artemisia nova	3 lbs/acre
shadscale	Atriplex confertifolia	3 lbs/acre
galleta grass	Hilaria jamesi	3 lbs/acre
blue gramma grass	Bouteloua gracilis	3 lbs/acre

If the seed mixture is to be aerially broadcasted, the pounds per acre shall be doubled. All seed poundages are in Pure Live Seed.

Immediately after construction the stockpiled top soil will be seeded and the seed worked into the soil by "walking" the pile with caterpillar tracks.

Other Additional Information:

Installation of the surface gas line and buried water lines will follow the edge of the existing roadways without interfering with normal travel and maintenance of the roadway.

Installation of the surface gas line and buried water lines will follow the conditions of approval for burrowing owl, and mountain plover the same as for development and drilling of the well.

Installation of the buried water lines will disturb as little surface as possible but will not exceed 60 feet in width. Reclamation of the water line area will be completed within 10 days after installation. The area will appear near natural topography. Reseeding will be the same seed mixture as for reclamation of the well site. And the interface of the buried water line and edge of the access road will be worked to reconstruct the borrow ditch and road berm to minimize vehicular travel along the water line route.

RECEIVED

OCT 02 2000

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

FORM APPROVED
Budget Bureau No. 1004-0135
Expires: March 31, 1993

SUNDRY NOTICES AND REPORTS ON WELLS

Do not use this form for proposals to drill or to deepen or reentry a different reservoir.
Use "APPLICATION FOR PERMIT -" for such proposals

5. Lease Designation and Serial No.
UTU-65207

6. If Indian, Allottee or Tribe Name
NA

7. If Unit or CA, Agreement Designation
S. WELLS DRAW

8. Well Name and No.
S. WELLS DRAW 1-9-9-16

9. API Well No.
43-013-32151

10. Field and Pool, or Exploratory Area
MONUMENT BUTTE

11. County or Parish, State
DUCHESNE COUNTY, UT

SUBMIT IN TRIPLICATE

1. Type of Well
 Oil Well Gas Well Other

2. Name of Operator
INLAND PRODUCTION COMPANY

3. Address and Telephone No.
Rt. 3 Box 3630, Myton Utah, 84052 435-646-3721

4. Location of Well (Footage, Sec., T., R., m., or Survey Description)
685 FNL 549 FEL - NE/NE Section 9, T09S R16E

12. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX(es) TO INDICATE NATURE OF NOTICE, REPORT, OR OTHER DATA

TYPE OF SUBMISSION	TYPE OF ACTION
<input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Intent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Subsequent Report <input type="checkbox"/> Final Abandonment Notice	<input type="checkbox"/> Abandonment <input type="checkbox"/> Recompletion <input type="checkbox"/> Plugging Back <input type="checkbox"/> Casing Repair <input type="checkbox"/> Altering Casing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Permit Extension</u>
	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Plans <input type="checkbox"/> New Construction <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Routine Fracturing <input type="checkbox"/> Water Shut-Off <input type="checkbox"/> Conversion to Injection <input type="checkbox"/> Dispose Water

(Note: Report results of multiple completion on Well Completion or Recompletion Report and Log form.)

13. Describe Proposed or Completed Operations (Clearly state all pertinent details, and give pertinent dates, including estimated date of starting any proposed work. If well is directionally drilled, give subsurface locations and measured and true vertical depths for all markers and zones pertinent to this work.)*

Inland Production Company requests to extend the permit to drill this well for one year. The original permit approval date was 9/19/00.

Approved by the
Utah Division of
Oil, Gas and Mining
Date: 06-14-01
By: [Signature]

RECEIVED

JUN 15 2001

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING

COPY SENT TO OPERATOR
Date: 06-07-01
Initials: CHD

14. I hereby certify that the foregoing is true and correct

Signed Mandie Cozjin Title Permit Clerk Date 6/14/01

CC: UTAH DOGM

(This space for Federal or State office use)

Approved by _____ Title _____ Date _____

Conditions of approval, if any:

CC: Utah DOGM



State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

Michael O. Leavitt
Governor
Robert Morgan
Executive Director
Lowell P. Braxton
Division Director

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210
PO Box 145801
Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801
801-538-5340
801-359-3940 (Fax)
801-538-7223 (TDD)

July 25, 2002

Mandie Crozier
Inland Production Company
Rt 3 Box 3630
Myton, UT 84052

Re: APD Rescinded – S. Wells Draw 1-9-9-16, Sec. 9, T. 9S, R. 16E
Duchesne, Utah API No. 43-013-32151

Dear Mr. Crozier:

The Application for Permit to Drill (APD) for the subject well was approved by the Division of Oil, Gas and Mining on April 24, 2000. On June 19, 2001, the Division granted a one-year APD extension. On July 25, 2002, you requested that the division rescind the approved APD. No drilling activity at this location has been reported to the division. Therefore, approval to drill the well is hereby rescinded, effective July 25, 2002.

A new APD must be filed with this office for approval prior to the commencement of any future work on the subject location.

If any previously unreported operations have been performed on this well location, it is imperative that you notify the Division immediately.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Diana Mason'.

Diana Mason
Engineering Technician

cc: Well File
Bureau of Land Management, Vernal



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Vernal Field Office

170 South 500 East

Vernal, Utah 84078-2799

<http://www.blm.gov/utah/vernal>

Phone: (435) 781-4400

Fax: (435) 781-4410

IN REPLY REFER TO:

3160

UT08300

October 4, 2002

43-013-32151

Mandie Crozier
Inland Production Company
Route 3 Box 3630
Myton, UT 84052

Re: Notification of Expiration
Well No. S. Wells Draw 1-9-9-16
NENE, Sec. 9, T9S, R16E
Duchesne County, Utah
Lease No. UTU-65207
South Wells Draw (GR) SR

Dear Ms. Crozier:

The Application for Permit to Drill the above-referenced well was approved on September 19, 2000. Inland Production Company requested an extension of the original APD approval for an additional year. The request was reviewed and the extension approved until September 19, 2002. According to our records, no known activity has transpired at the approved location. In view of the foregoing, this office is notifying you the approval of the referenced application has expired. If you intend to drill at this location at a future date, a new Application for Permit to Drill must be submitted.

This office requires a letter confirming that no surface disturbance has been made for this drill site. Any surface disturbance associated with the approved location of this well is to be rehabilitated. A schedule for this rehabilitation must be submitted to this office. Your cooperation in this matter is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Leslie Walker

Leslie Walker
Legal Instruments Examiner

cc: UDOGM

RECEIVED

OCT 09 2002

DIVISION OF
OIL, GAS AND MINING